

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

F I L E D
STEPHEN THUNBERG
Clerk of the Superior Court

APR 04 2000

By: BARBARA J. JONES, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO (CENTRAL DIVISION)

WILLIAM J. CORBETT; DONALD B. ALLEN;
LEONARD LEE MOORHEAD; AND GORDON L.
WILSON; individually and on behalf of those similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CITY EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM and
DOES 1 through 50, inclusive.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 722449

NOTICE OF
PROPOSED SETTLEMENT
OF CLASS ACTION

CITY OF SAN DIEGO,

Real Party in Interest.

RELATED COMPLAINTS IN INTERVENTION BY
INTERVENERS SAN DIEGO MUNICIPAL
EMPLOYEES' ASSOCIATION; SAN DIEGO CITY
FIREFIGHTERS LOCAL 145, IAAF, AFL-CIO; LOCAL
127, AMERICAN FEDERATION OF STATE, COUNTY
AND MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEES, AFL-CIO; AND SAN
DIEGO POLICE OFFICERS ASSOCIATION

I/C Judge Robert E. May
Dept. 63
Date May 12, 2000
Time: 11:30 a.m.
Complaint Filed: July 16, 1998

AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS.

**NOTICE TO CLASS MEMBERS OF PROPOSED SETTLEMENT
IMPORTANT NOTICE ABOUT YOUR RETIREMENT BENEFITS
FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

**NOTICE OF PROPOSED
SETTLEMENT OF CLASS ACTION**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I.

INTRODUCTION

Please read this Notice carefully if you either currently receive, or will be entitled to receive in the future, retirement benefits from the San Diego City Employees' Retirement System ("SDCERS") as a result of employment with the City of San Diego ("THE CITY"), or are otherwise a member of the "PLAINTIFF CLASS," as described below. A copy of this Notice will also be posted on THE CITY's web site: www.ci.san-diego.ca.us; go to City Hall and then to the City Attorney Section.

The named parties and their counsel of record have reached a proposed Settlement of this case. To become effective, the proposed Settlement must be approved by the Court. To determine whether the Court should approve the proposed Settlement, there will be a hearing on May 12, 2000, at 11:30 a.m. before the Honorable Robert E. May in Department 63 of the Superior Court for the State of California, County of San Diego, located at 330 West Broadway, San Diego, California 92101. The hearing will continue through the lunch hour, and thereafter until it is completed.

If you are a member of the "PLAINTIFF CLASS" and wish to object to the proposed Settlement, you have an opportunity to do so by following the procedures set out in this Notice. You may attend the May 12 hearing in any event, whether you object to the proposed Settlement or not. It is possible that there will also be a hearing on or before that date on the issue of attorneys' fees. If so, you will be advised by a separate Notice. Attorneys' fees are discussed more completely below, starting on page 9.

If the Settlement is approved by the Court, and if you are a member of the "PLAINTIFF CLASS," the Settlement will be binding on you whether or not you have objected to the Settlement. If the Settlement is approved, the "PLAINTIFF CLASS" will receive certain increased retirement benefits or disability retirement benefits, and each member is giving up his/her right to trial and giving up all claims which could have been brought or pursued in this lawsuit concerning the definition of Compensation, base compensation, Compensation Earnable or Final Compensation under the Municipal Code for purposes of calculating retirement benefits payable by SDCERS.

///

1 THE PLAINTIFF CLASS CONSISTS OF ALL PERSONS WHO MAY CLAIM ANY
2 RIGHT, WHETHER OR NOT CURRENTLY VESTED, TO RECEIVE RETIREMENT BENEFITS
3 FROM THE SAN DIEGO CITY EMPLOYEES RETIREMENT SYSTEM ("SDCERS") AS A
4 RESULT OF EMPLOYMENT BY THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO ("THE CITY"), INCLUDING BUT
5 NOT LIMITED TO ALL SUCH CURRENT EMPLOYEES OF THE CITY (INCLUDING THOSE
6 WHO HAVE ELECTED TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DEFERRED RETIREMENT OPTION PLAN
7 ("DROP")), FORMER EMPLOYEES OF THE CITY WHOSE RETIREMENT BENEFITS MAY
8 BE DEFERRED, RETIRED FORMER EMPLOYEES OF THE CITY CURRENTLY RECEIVING
9 RETIREMENT BENEFITS FROM SDCERS, ALL CURRENT MEMBERS OF SDCERS AS A
10 RESULT OF EMPLOYMENT BY THE CITY, AND PERSONS WHO MAY CLAIM
11 RETIREMENT BENEFITS FROM SDCERS AS A RESULT OF SOME OTHER PERSON'S
12 CURRENT OR PAST EMPLOYMENT BY THE CITY, SUCH AS AS A BENEFICIARY,
13 SURVIVOR, SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST, OR AS A PAYEE UNDER A DOMESTIC
14 RELATIONS ORDER ("DRO") RELATING TO THE RETIREMENT BENEFITS OF ANOTHER
15 PERSON WHO IS OR WAS A CURRENT OR FORMER EMPLOYEE OF THE CITY. THE
16 LAWSUIT CONCERNS THE CALCULATION OF RETIREMENT BENEFITS PAID BY
17 SDCERS TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE CLASS AS A RESULT OF ANY EMPLOYMENT WITH
18 THE CITY, AND THE CONTRIBUTIONS REQUIRED TO BE PAID TO RECEIVE THOSE
19 BENEFITS.

20 II.

21 PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND NATURE OF THE CLAIMS

22 Plaintiffs William J. Corbett, Donald B. Allen, Leonard Lee Moorhead and Gordon L. Wilson
23 filed this action on July 16, 1998 and filed a First Amended Complaint on October 28, 1998.
24 Generally, plaintiffs alleged that retirement benefits paid by SDCERS as a result of employment by
25 THE CITY had not been properly calculated in light of the California Supreme Court's August 1997
26 decision in Ventura County Deputy Sheriff's Association v. Board of Retirement of Ventura County
27 Employees' Retirement Association.

28 ///

1 In Ventura, the California Supreme Court ruled that the Retirement Board in that case was
2 required to classify certain payments made by the County of Ventura to its employees over and above
3 their basic salaries as "compensation earnable" and to include those payments in "final
4 compensation" used to calculate the amount of monthly pension benefits payable to the retired
5 employees under the County Employees' Retirement Law of 1937 ("CERL"), Government Code
6 Section 31450, *et seq.* Plaintiffs in this case allege that the same rationale should be applied to
7 certain payments made by THE CITY.

8 On February 3, 1999, Defendant SDCERS and Real Party in Interest THE CITY filed an
9 Answer and Cross-Complaint alleging, generally, that retirement benefits have been properly
10 calculated and paid under applicable law and according to agreements and long-standing practices
11 and, in the alternative, that, if retirement benefits must be increased to certain payees, the Court must
12 also determine the allocation among the parties of the costs of those increased benefits.

13 Thereafter, the following four unions intervened on behalf of their bargaining units. The
14 union plaintiffs in intervention are: the Municipal Employees Association (MEA); Firefighters
15 Local 145; AFSCME Local 127; and the San Diego Police Officer's Association (POA). Generally,
16 each of the four unions asserts that, as the exclusive bargaining agent for certain active employees,
17 it has an interest in the outcome of this litigation and the issues presented herein.

18 On November 19, 1999, the Court signed an order certifying this case as a class action,
19 because the outcome of the case was likely to impact all SDCERS members who claim any right to
20 receive retirement or disability retirement benefits from SDCERS as a result of employment by THE
21 CITY, whether they were actively involved in the case or not. The Court also ordered that all
22 members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS be notified that this case was pending and could affect the
23 rights of members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS. A Notice of Pendency of Class Action was approved
24 by the Court and delivered to members of the PLAINTIFF CLASS in February and March 2000.
25 On March 10, 2000, the Court scheduled a hearing on the plaintiffs' planned petition for a writ of
26 mandate for May 26, 2000.

27 On March 1 and March 13, 2000, all of the parties and counsel in this case participated in a
28 mediation with Howard B. Wiener, a retired Justice of the Court of Appeal of the State of California.

1 As a result of the mediation, the parties and counsel were able to reach an agreement to settle the
2 case. Each of the parties and counsel recognized that the litigation presented risks, and that the case
3 could result in an outcome more favorable or less favorable to any party.

4 III.

5 DESCRIPTION OF THE SETTLEMENT

6 A. **Proposed Settlement for All Class Members (or Their Beneficiaries) who are**
7 **Vested Retired Members of SDCERS as a Result of Employment with the City**
8 **or Retired From Employment by the City of San Diego on or Before July 1, 2000.**

8 If you are receiving retirement benefits, or disability retirement benefits, from SDCERS as
9 a result of active employment with THE CITY that terminated on or before July 1, 2000 (including
10 former DROP participants who have ceased active employment prior to July 1, 2000), or if you are
11 a vested deferred member of SDCERS as a result of employment with THE CITY, your retirement
12 benefit payment (with the exception of the Supplemental COLA adjustment and the Annual
13 Supplemental Benefit (the "13th check")) will increase by a simple seven per cent (7%), both
14 prospectively and retroactively. This seven per cent (7%) will apply to all such retirement benefit
15 payments you (or your DROP account) have received since July 1, 1995, and will receive in the
16 future. You will receive the retroactive payment in a single lump sum payment in approximately late
17 October, 2000 at the time the Annual Supplemental Benefit (the "13th check") is normally
18 distributed. If you have chosen to leave the balance of your DROP account retained by SDCERS,
19 you may elect to have your retroactive payment deposited in that account.

20 Each year, these payments are contingent on SDCERS having sufficient Surplus
21 Undistributed Earnings to pay them under the section of the Municipal Code concerning the use of
22 SDCERS Surplus Undistributed Earnings (SDMC § 24.1502) after the Annual Supplemental Benefit
23 (the "13th check"). To the extent these amounts are not paid in any year because there are insufficient
24 Surplus Undistributed Earnings, they will be carried forward as a contingent liability which will be
25 paid in future years in which there are sufficient Surplus Undistributed Earnings to pay them under
26 the statute. Liabilities carried forward will be paid in the order in which they accrued.

27 Each year, the right to receive these payments will be accrued monthly. However, they will
28 be paid annually, subject to the contingency, approximately five months after the close of SDCERS

1 fiscal year (at the time the Annual Supplemental Benefit (the "13th check") is normally distributed).
 2 Thus, subject to the contingency, in approximately October 2000, the retroactive payment will be
 3 distributed and in approximately October 2001, the seven percent (7%) increased benefit for the
 4 fiscal year July 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001 will be distributed.

5 Payments pursuant to this proposed Settlement will be paid with respect to estates of retired
 6 members only in the event there is a designated beneficiary eligible for a continuance (hereinafter,
 7 "Beneficiary") to the retirement benefit and, in that event, will be paid only to the designated
 8 Beneficiary at the time the payment is made. As a hypothetical example, if a member who was
 9 retired prior to July 1, 1995 died on June 30, 1997 and designated a Beneficiary, the retroactive
 10 payment paid to the Beneficiary will be seven percent (7%) of the full retirement benefit for the two-
 11 year period from July 1, 1995 through June 30, 1997 and seven percent (7%) of the Beneficiary's
 12 benefit for the remaining three years of the retroactive period. (In both instances, the retirement
 13 benefit excludes any Supplemental COLA adjustment and the Annual Supplemental Benefit (the
 14 "13th check"). Similarly, as another hypothetical example, if a retired member should die on
 15 December 15, 2000 and designate a Beneficiary, and there are sufficient Surplus Undistributed
 16 Earnings to pay the seven percent (7%) increase for the fiscal year starting July 1, 2000, the
 17 Beneficiary will receive, in approximately October 2001, a sum equal to seven percent (7%) of the
 18 full retirement benefit for the five-month period July through November 2000 and seven percent
 19 (7%) of the Beneficiary benefit for the seven-month period December 2000 through June 2001.
 20 (Again, in both instances, the retirement benefit is calculated with the exception of the Supplemental
 21 COLA Adjustment and the Annual Supplemental Benefit (the "13th check"). If there is no
 22 designated Beneficiary with respect to the account, there will be no payments under the proposed
 23 Settlement with respect to any estate of a retired member who dies prior to a payment date.

24 The increased retirement benefit payment described in this section will not be paid to Special
 25 Safety Class members (also known as "the fluctuaters") or their beneficiaries because their
 26 retirement benefits are not based on the Municipal Code provisions concerning the definition of
 27 Compensation, base compensation, Compensation Earnable or Final Compensation.

28 ///

1 The seven percent (7%) increase in retirement benefits paid to retired members of the
2 PLAINTIFF CLASS is less than the ten percent (10%) increase that active employees may elect to
3 receive (as described below), because retired employees did not make contributions to SDCERS
4 during the period of their employment for these increased benefits, while active employees will make
5 contributions for their increased benefits in the future.

6 **B. Proposed Settlement for All Active Employee "Safety Members" as Defined by**
7 **the San Diego Municipal Code.**

8 If you are employed by THE CITY at any time on or after July 1, 2000, and are classified as
9 a Lifeguard or a Safety Member of SDCERS, you will be allowed, as a vested benefit, to make an
10 election at the time of your retirement between the following two choices for the calculation of your
11 retirement benefit (with the exception of the Supplemental COLA adjustment and the Annual
12 Supplemental Benefit (the "13th check")):

- 13 1. Your Retirement Calculation Factor will be increased from 2.5% (2.2% for
14 Lifeguards) at age 50 to 3.0% at age 50 and all subsequent years; or
- 15 2. Your retirement benefit will be calculated on the basis of the Retirement Calculation
16 Factors in effect on June 30, 2000, and your retirement benefit (with the exclusion of
17 the Supplemental COLA adjustment and the annual Supplemental Benefit (the "13th
18 check")) so computed will be increased by ten per cent (10%).

19 Starting on July 1, 2001, which is one year after the Effective Date of the Settlement (July 1,
20 2000), your employee contribution to SDCERS will increase by an amount to be determined by
21 SDCERS' actuary (currently estimated to be 1.23% for Lifeguards and .53% for other Safety
22 members) as the employee normal contribution to fund the payment of additional retirement benefits.
23 Your employee contribution will not increase to pay for the additional cost of the choice described
24 above (currently estimated to be .16%). That amount will be paid from an existing SDCERS reserve
25 for employee benefits until that reserve is exhausted (estimated to occur in approximately 20 years).

26

27

28 ///

1 **C. Proposed Settlement for All Active Employee "General Members" as Defined in**
2 **the San Diego Municipal Code.**

3 If you are employed by THE CITY at any time on or after July 1, 2000, and are classified as
4 a General Member of SDCERS, you will be allowed, as a vested benefit, to make an election at the
5 time of your retirement between the following two choices for the calculation of your retirement
6 benefit (with the exception of the Supplemental COLA adjustment and the Annual Supplemental
7 Benefit (the "13th check")):

- 8 1. Your Retirement Calculation Factor will be increased from 2.0% at age 55 (stepping
9 up to 2.55% at age 65 and all subsequent years) to 2.25% at age 55 (stepping up to
10 2.55% at age 65 and all subsequent years); or
- 11 2. Your retirement benefit will be calculated on the basis of the Retirement Calculation
12 Factors in effect on June 30, 2000, and your retirement benefit (with the exclusion of
13 the Supplemental COLA adjustment and the Annual Supplemental Benefit (the "13th
14 check") so computed will be increased by ten per cent (10%).

15 Starting on July 1, 2001, which is one year after the Effective Date of the Settlement (July 1,
16 2000), your employee contribution to SDCERS will increase by an amount to be determined by
17 SDCERS' actuary (currently estimated to be .49%) as the employee normal contribution to fund the
18 payment of additional retirement benefits. Your employee contribution will not increase to pay for
19 the additional cost of the choice described above (currently estimated to be .16%). That amount will
20 be paid from an existing SDCERS reserve for employee benefits until that reserve is exhausted
21 (estimated to occur in approximately 20 years.)

22 **D. Proposed Settlement for All Active Employee "Legislative Members" as Defined**
23 **in the San Diego Municipal Code.**

24 If you are employed by THE CITY at any time on or after July 1, 2000, and are classified as
25 a Legislative Member of SDCERS, your retirement benefit will be calculated on the basis of the
26 Retirement Calculation Factors in effect as of June 30, 2000 and your retirement benefit (with the
27 exclusion of the Supplemental COLA adjustment and the Annual Supplemental Benefit (the "13th
28 check")) so computed will be increased by ten per cent (10%), as a vested benefit. Your employee

1 contribution will increase to pay for this increased benefit in the same amounts as are currently
2 estimated for General Members (.49%, plus .16%), which will be paid in the same manner and on
3 the same schedule as for General Members.

4 **E. Proposed Settlement for All Currently Employed DROP Participants.**

5 If you have elected, or elect prior to July 1, 2000, to participate in THE CITY's Deferred
6 Retirement Option Program ("DROP"), and are still actively employed by THE CITY as of July 1,
7 2000, any retirement benefit payments payable into your DROP account prior to July 1, 2000 (with
8 the exception of any Supplemental COLA adjustment and the Annual Supplemental Benefit (the
9 "13th check")) will be increased by seven per cent (7%) (the "Retroactive Payment"), and all such
10 retirement benefit payments to be made on or after July 1, 2000, into your DROP account or
11 otherwise, will be increased by ten per cent (10%) (the "Prospective Payment"). Your Retroactive
12 Payment will be paid in approximately October 2000 at the time the Annual Supplement Benefit (the
13 "13th check") is normally distributed. Your Retroactive Payment is contingent on SDCERS having
14 sufficient Surplus Undistributed Earnings to pay it under the section of the Municipal Code
15 concerning the use of SDCERS Surplus Undistributed Earnings (SDMC § 24.1502) after the Annual
16 Supplemental Benefit (the "13th check"). To the extent the Retroactive Payment is not paid in any
17 year because there are insufficient Surplus Undistributed Earnings, it will be carried forward as a
18 contingent liability which will be paid in future years in which there are sufficient Surplus
19 Undistributed Earnings to pay it under the statute. Liabilities carried forward will be paid in the
20 order in which they accrued. Your ten percent (10%) Prospective Payment described above is not
21 contingent and is a vested benefit.

22 **F. Payment of Attorneys' Fees.** SDCERS and THE CITY have tentatively agreed with counsel
23 for Plaintiffs and the Intervenors to pay them a specific total amount in satisfaction of all their claims
24 for attorneys' fees and costs incurred and to be incurred with respect to this case. The tentative
25 agreement is subject only to the approval of the governing bodies of SDCERS and THE CITY,
26 respectively, at meetings scheduled to take place during the week of April 10, 2000. If the tentative
27 agreement regarding attorneys' fees and costs is approved, you will not have to pay any attorneys'

28 ///

1 fees or costs with respect to this case, nor will there be any deductions from payments you receive
2 pursuant to the proposed settlement.

3 Starting the afternoon of April 14, 2000, you may learn whether the tentative agreement
4 regarding reasonable attorneys' fees has been approved and, if so, the amount of the reasonable
5 attorneys' fees and costs to be paid collectively by SDCERS and THE CITY, by accessing THE
6 CITY'S web site at www.ci.san-diego.ca.us and going first to City Hall and then to the City Attorney
7 Sections. In the alternative, you can receive that information starting the afternoon of April 14, 2000
8 by calling 619-236-7373 to receive a recorded message.

9 If the tentative agreement regarding attorneys' fees and costs is NOT approved, in addition
10 to being so advised on the web site, you will also be advised of the schedule on which the Court will
11 hear motion(s) to be filed by Counsel for the PLAINTIFF CLASS and SUB-CLASSES to recover
12 reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and how this issue might affect the proposed Settlement.

13 IV.

14 TIMING, PROCEDURES, CONDITIONS AND EFFECTIVE DATE

15 A condition precedent to the Settlement is confirmation from the SDCERS actuary that the
16 terms of the proposed Settlement will not cause the SDCERS funded ratio to fall below 90% as of
17 July 1, 2000. This condition has been satisfied with respect to the payments to be made to the
18 PLAINTIFF CLASS.

19 This Notice contains the terms of the proposed Settlement. Once approved by the Court, this
20 Notice becomes the Settlement and this Notice becomes the formal and complete Settlement
21 Agreement.

22 If the proposed Settlement is approved by the Court, THE CITY must pass necessary
23 ordinances to implement it. Conditions subsequent to the Settlement will be the approval of those
24 ordinances by the SDCERS membership as required by the San Diego Municipal Code, and a
25 determination by counsel for the PLAINTIFF CLASS and SUB-CLASSES that the ordinances
26 effectively implement the Settlement.

27 Nothing in this Settlement shall be deemed to grant to any party any approval rights over any
28 other actions of THE CITY. All parties acknowledge that THE CITY is considering changing

1 portions of the Municipal Code affecting the SDCERS Retirement Plan, including changing or
2 eliminating the provisions concerning Compensation, base compensation, Compensation Earnable,
3 and/or Final Compensation, and that nothing in this Settlement shall affect in any way the power of
4 THE CITY to do so or the negotiating right of THE CITY's labor organizations.

5 If the conditions subsequent are not satisfied, the Settlement is void and the litigation will
6 resume.

7 If the Court approves the Settlement, the effective date will be July 1, 2000, and all
8 entitlements to payments under the Settlement will accrue as of that date. Nevertheless, SDCERS
9 may not make payments as required under the Settlement until after the conditions subsequent have
10 been satisfied.

11 V.

12 THE SETTLEMENT HEARING AND OPTIONS OF CLASS MEMBERS

13 Because of the nature of this lawsuit, you will not have an opportunity to be excluded from
14 the Settlement of this case if it is approved by the Court. That means that, if and when the
15 Settlement is approved by the Court, you will be bound by it. If you wish you may, however, object
16 to the terms of the Settlement.

17 If you object to the terms of the Settlement, you may appear in person or through your
18 attorney and be heard in opposition. You may object on the grounds of the fairness, reasonableness,
19 and adequacy of the Settlement by delivering no later than May 4, 2000 to counsel for your SUB-
20 CLASS at the address set out below documentary proof that you are a member of the Settlement
21 class and a written statement of the basis of your objection. Mr. Conger will provide to the Court
22 and all other counsel copies of any objections, together with an index, by May 8, 2000.

23 VI.

24 NOTICE OF ATTORNEYS OF RECORD

25 If you submit any objections or other papers regarding the proposed Settlement, a copy should
26 be sent to the attorney for your Sub-Class at the address listed below:

27
28 ///

1 **1. Michael A. Conger, Esq., Law Offices of Michael Conger, 2169 First Avenue, San Diego,**
 2 **CA 92101, phone (619) 234-1511, fax (619) 230-1074; e-mail: congermike@aol.com, attorney for**
 3 **both "Sub-classes A and F," which consist of:**

4 **Sub-class A: All former employees of the CITY who are classified**
 5 **as retired by SDCERS (including those who have elected to participate**
 6 **in the Deferred Retirement Option Plan ("DROP")); and**

7 **Sub-class F: All other persons not included in Sub-classes A, B, C,**
 8 **D, or E, as described here, who are in the class (that is, all persons**
 9 **who may claim any right, whether or not currently vested, to receive**
 10 **retirement benefits from SDCERS as a result of employment by the**
 11 **CITY), but who are not members of any of Sub-classes A through E.**
 12 **This sub-class includes current employees who are legislative officers,**
 13 **unclassified employees and unrepresented employees (including those**
 14 **who have elected to participate in the Deferred Retirement Option**
 15 **Plan ("DROP")). This sub-class also includes all persons who may**
 16 **claim any right, whether or not currently vested, to receive retirement**
 17 **benefits from SDCERS as a result of any other person's current or past**
 18 **employment by the CITY (including those who have elected to**
 19 **participate in the Deferred Retirement Option Plan ("DROP")),**
 20 **including as a current or former employee's beneficiary, survivor, or**
 21 **successor in interest, or as a payee under a Domestic Relations Order**
 22 **relating to the retirement benefits of some other person currently or**
 23 **formerly employed by the CITY.**

24 **2. Ann M. Smith, Esq. of Tosdal Levine Smith & Steiner, 600 B Street, Suite 2100, San Diego,**
 25 **CA 92101, phone (619) 239-7200, fax (619) 239-6048; e-mail ASMITH@tlsslaw.com, attorney for**
 26 **"Sub-class B," which consists of:**

27 **All current employees of the CITY (including those who have elected**
 28 **to participate in the Deferred Retirement Option Plan ("DROP"))**
 29 **employed in positions within any of the following bargaining units**
 30 **represented by San Diego Municipal Employees' Association**
 31 **("MEA") as exclusive bargaining agent: (1) Administrative and Field**
 32 **Support, or (2) Technical, or (3) Professional, or (4) Supervisory.**
 33 **Plaintiff in Intervention MEA shall be the representative of all**
 34 **members of Sub-Class B.**

35 **3. Joel N. Klevens, Esq. of Fogel, Feldman, Ostrov, Ringler & Klevens, 1620 26th St., Ste. 100**
 36 **South Tower, Santa Monica, CA 90404-6711, phone (310) 453-6711, fax (310) 828-2191; e-mail:**
 37 **JoelK@FFORK.com, attorney for "Sub-class C," which consists of:**

38 **All current employees of the CITY (including those who have elected**
 39 **to participate in the Deferred Retirement Option Plan ("DROP")) who**
 40 **are represented by San Diego City Firefighters Local 145, IAAF,**
 41 **AFL-CIO ("Firefighters Local 145") as exclusive bargaining agent and**
 42 **are employed as either a: (1) Fire Recruit; (2) Fire Fighter I; (3) Fire**
 43 **Fighter II; (4) Fire Engineer; (5) Fire Captain; (6) Fire Battalion Chief;**

1 (7) Fire Prevention Inspector I; (8) Fire Prevention Inspector II;
 2 (9) Fire Prevention Supervisor; (10) Assistant Fire Marshall;
 3 (11) Emergency Medical Technician; (12) Paramedic I;
 4 (13) Paramedic II; or (14) Medical Operations Coordinator. Plaintiff
 in Intervention Firefighters Local 145 shall be the representatives of
 all members of Sub-Class C.

5 4. Anthony R. Segall, Esq. of Rothner, Segall & Greenstone 200 East Del Mar Boulevard,
 6 Suite 200, Pasadena, CA 91105-2544, phone (626) 796-7555, fax (626) 577-0124, attorney for Sub-
 7 Class D, which consists of:

8 All current employees of the CITY (including those who have elected
 9 to participate in the Deferred Retirement Option Plan ("DROP"))
 10 employed in positions within the Maintenance, Labor, Skilled Trades
 11 and Equipment Operator Unit represented by Local 127, American
 Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees, AFL-CIO,
 ("AFSCME Local 127") as exclusive bargaining agent.

12 5. Richard H. Castle, Jr., Esq., of Castle & Krause, 41877 Enterprise Circle North, Suite 140,
 13 Temecula, CA 92590, phone (909) 694-8884, fax (909) 699-8634, attorney for Sub-Class E, which
 14 consists of:

15 All current employees of the CITY of San Diego (including those who
 16 have elected to participate in the Deferred Retirement Option Plan
 ("DROP")) who are represented by the San Diego Police Officers
 17 Association ("POA") as exclusive bargaining agent.

18 6. David B. Hopkins, Esq., Hillyer & Irwin, 500 West C Street, Suite 1600, San Diego, CA
 19 92101, phone (619) 234-6121, fax (619) 595-1313, attorney for SDCERS and THE CITY.

20 VII.

21 CHANGE OF ADDRESS

22 If you move after receiving this notice or if it was misaddressed, and if you are a deferred or
 23 retired member, beneficiary, survivor or successor in interest of any member of SDCERS who claims
 24 benefits as a result of employment with THE CITY, you should supply your name and correct
 25 address to the San Diego City Employees' Retirement System. If you are an active employee of THE
 26 CITY, you should supply that information to the payroll department of the City of San Diego. **THIS**
 27 **IS IMPORTANT SO THAT FUTURE NOTICES REACH YOU.**

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VIII.

EXAMINATION OF PAPERS AND INQUIRIES

The pleadings and all of the records of this litigation may be examined and copied at any time during regular office hours of the Clerk of the Superior Court at the Hall of Justice, Second Floor, Room 225 (Civil Records and Filings), 330 West Broadway, San Diego, California 92101.

IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS, DO NOT CALL OR WRITE TO THE COURT OR THE CLERK OF THE COURT. PLEASE ADDRESS ALL INQUIRIES IN WRITING TO THE COUNSEL FOR YOUR SUB-CLASS, STATED ABOVE.

Date: APR 04 2000

ROBERT E. MAY

HON. ROBERT E. MAY
Superior Court of The State of California
County of San Diego

SO APPROVED AS THE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, SUBJECT TO COURT APPROVAL:

Date: 3/31/00

LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL CONGER

Michael A. Conger

Michael A. Conger
Attorney for Sub-classes A and F

Date: April 3, 2000

TOSDAL LEVINE SMITH & STEINER

Ann M. Smith

Ann M. Smith
Attorney for Sub-class B

Date: 4/3/2000

FOGEL, FELDMAN, OSTROV, RINGLER & KLEVENS, a Law Corporation


Joel N. Klevens

Joel N. Klevens
Attorney for Sub-class C

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


Date: March 31, 2000

ROTHNER, SEGALL & GREENSTONE


Anthony R. Segall
Attorney for Sub-Class D

Date: March 31, 2000

CASTLE & KRAUSE


Richard H. Castle, Jr.
Attorney for Sub-Class E


Date: March 31, 2000

HILLYER & IRWIN


David B. Hopkins
Attorney for SDCERS and THE CITY

Date: March 31, 2000

SDCERS RETIREMENT BOARD OF
ADMINISTRATION


Lawrence B. Grissom
Its Administrator

Date: March 31, 2000

CITY OF SAN DIEGO



Michael T. Uberuoga
Its City Manager

EXHIBIT # 2

CLARIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT TERMS

Corbett, et al v. City Employees' Retirement System, et al, Case # 722449

The terms of the settlement agreement as set forth in the "Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action," filed April 4, 2000, are clarified as follows:

1. **Supplemental COLA.** Payments made under the *Corbett* settlement will not reduce the supplemental cost of living increase given to eligible SDCERS retirees.

2. **Reciprocity Agreements.** The settlement affects only the retirement benefits to be paid by SDCERS to a class member. If a class member receives (either now or in the future) retirement benefits from both SDCERS and from some other reciprocating body, the increased retirement benefits resulting from the *Corbett* settlement apply to the benefits to be paid by SDCERS, but not to the benefits to be paid by any other reciprocating entity which is not a party to the settlement. Similarly, the settlement affects only the contribution rates of class members related to their employment with the City, and not with any other reciprocating entity.

3. **General Member Retirement Calculation Factors Starting at Age 55.** The exact steps for increasing the Retirement Calculation Factor from 2.25% at age 55 up to 2.55% at age 65 and all subsequent years which general members may elect at the time of retirement are as follows:

Age	Retirement Calculation Factor
55-59	2.25%
60	2.3%
61	2.35%
62	2.4%
63	2.45%
64	2.5%
≥65	2.55%

4. **Estimated Dates for Contingent Payments.** The contingent payments to class members who are retired as of June 30, 2000, and the contingent payments to DROP participants for the period prior to July 1, 2000 will be made "in approximately November" of the applicable year, as opposed to "in approximately October."

Clarification of Settlement Terms

Corbett, et al v. City Employees' retirement System, et al, Case # 722449

Page 1

5. **Costs and Attorneys' Fees.** Costs and attorneys' fees in the total amount of \$1.2 million are to be paid by the City and SDCERS to counsel for the plaintiff sub-classes.

F I L E D
STEPHEN THUNBERG
Clerk of the Superior Court

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO **MAY 19 2000**

Corbett, et al. v. City Employees' Retirement System, et al. ^{By:} **L. JONES, Deputy**

Superior Court Case Number 722449

I declare as follows:

I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the case. I am employed in the County of San Diego, California, where the mailing occurs; and my business address is 2169 First Avenue, San Diego, California 92101.

On March 16, 2000, I served the foregoing document(s) described as:

1. NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT;

on the interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

**David B. Hopkins, Esquire
Hillyer & Irwin
550 West C Street, 16th Floor
San Diego, CA 92101-3568
(619) 234-6121
(619) 595-1313 (Fax No.)**

**Attorneys for Defendant City
Employees Retirement System
and Real Party in Interest
City of San Diego**

**Ann M. Smith, Esquire
Tosdal, Levine, Smith & Steiner
600 "B" Street, Suite 2100
San Diego, CA 92101
(619) 239-7200
(619) 239-6048 (Fax No.)**

**Attorneys for Intervenor San
Diego Employees Association**

**Joel N. Klevens, Esquire
Fogel, Feldman, Ostrov, Ringler & Klevens
1620 26th Street, Suite 100 South Tower
Santa Monica, CA 90404-4040
(310) 453-6711
(310) 828-2191 (Fax No.)**

**Attorneys for Intervenor San
Diego City Firefighters, Local
145, IAFF, AFL-CIO**

**Anthony R. Segall, Esquire
Rothner, Segall & Greenstone
200 East Del Mar Boulevard
Pasadena, CA 91105-2544
(626) 796-7555
(626) 577-0124 (Fax No.)**

**Attorneys for Intervenor
Local 127, American
Federation of State, County
And Municipal Employees,
AFL-CIO**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Richard H. Castle, Jr., Esquire
Castle & Krause
28645 Old Town Front Street
Temecula, CA 92590
(909) 694-8884
(909) 699-8634 (Fax No.)


**Attorneys for Police Officers
Association**

Kathleen Furness, Esquire
Browning & Furness, LLP
402 West Broadway, Suite 1240
San Diego, CA 92101
(619) 234-2194
(619) 234-2197 (Fax No.)

- (X) BY MAIL - I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and that the correspondence shall be deposited with the United States Postal Service this same day in the ordinary course of business pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1013a.
- () BY FAX - A copy of said documents were delivered by facsimile transmission to the addressee pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1013(e). The transmissions were reported as complete and without error on May 19, 2000, at _____ a.m., _____ a.m., _____ a.m., _____ a.m., _____ a.m. and _____ a.m., respectively, from the fax machine located at (619) 230-1074. The attached transmission reports were properly issued by the transmitting machine.
- () BY PERSONAL SERVICE - I hand-delivered said document(s) to the addressee pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1011.
- () FEDERAL EXPRESS - I caused said document(s) to be deposited in a box or other facility regularly maintained by the express service carrier providing overnight delivery pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1013(c).

Executed on May 19, 2000, at San Diego, California.

I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.


 Patricia B. Messer